

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/556,060 11/07/2005		Andreas Meinke	SONN:080US	5339	
32425	7590	09/25/2006		EXAMINER	
FULBRIG		WORSKI L.L.P.	BASKAR, PADMAVATHI		
	SUITE 2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, T	X 78701			1645	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/556,060	MEINKE ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Padmavathi v. Baskar	1645					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□		= action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pre	osecution as to the merits is					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-60</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	Claim(s) 38-60 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	· ·							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

RESTRICTION

1 Applicants amendment filed on 11/7/05 has been entered.

Claims 1-37 have been canceled.

Claims 38-60 have been entered and are pending in the application.

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in response to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. Group I, claims 38 -44 and 45-54 drawn to hyper-immune serum reactive antgein (Streptococcus agalactiae) and a pharmaceutical composition Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph #4). Group II, claims 55-60, drawn to a method of vaccinating a subject Further restriction to one SEQ.ID.NO required (see paragraph # 4).

3. The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special feature technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature of linking groups appears to be that they are all related to hyper Streptococcus agalactiae immune serum reactive antigens.

However, Stalhammar-Carlemalm et al J.Exp.Med. 1993, 177, 1593-1603 disclose hyper immune (anti rabbit IgG) reactive antigen fragment 95kD (see abstract and figures 3, 4,6 and 9). Therefore, the technical feature of linking groups I-II does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art and hence unity of invention is lacking.

Art Unit: 1645

4.

The special technical feature of Group I is considered to be antigen, made up of amino acids.

The technical feature Groups II is considered to be method utilizing product that share no common structure, property, function and lack the same or a corresponding special technical feature so as to form a single general inventive concept under Rule 13.1. Hence, unity is lacking among group II

Accordingly, Groups I-II are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

For each group of inventions I-II above, restriction to one of the following

DISTINCT INVENTIONS

SEQ.ID.NO is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372. Therefore, election is required of one of inventions I-II and one of SEQ ID NO: 218-434, 449-462 or 475-486 Invention SEQ ID NO: SEQ ID NO: 218-434, 449-462 or 475-486 are not so linked as to under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The claimed antigens SEQ ID NO: 218-434, 449-462 or 475-486 share no common special technical feature because the antigens have no common structure (i.e., no common sequence) and are not linked by the same the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept. Therefore, where structural identity is required, such as expression of protein or binding of antibody, each sequence appears to be structurally different and induce a specific immune response. Thus they share no common structure and function so as to form a single general inventive concept under Rule 13.1. Hence, unity is lacking among SEQ ID NO: SEQ ID NO: 218-434, 449-462 or 475-486

Page 4

Applicant is required under Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372 to elect a single disclosed SEQ.ID.NO from any group elected.

5. This application contains claim 50 directed to the following patentably distinct species: immunostimulatory substance is a polycationic polymer, an immunostimulatory deoxynucleotide (ODM), a peptide containing at least two Lys-Leu-Lys motifs, a neuroactive compound, alum, or a Freund's complete or incomplete adjuvant. The species are independent or distinct because each immunostimulatory molecule is structurally and functionally are different and distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 6. Applicant is required in reply to this action to elect a group, one sequence SEQ.ID.NO and one immunostimulatory substance and identify to which the claims shall be restricted. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected invention, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 7. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600, AU 1645 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be transmitted via the PTO Fax Center, which receives transmissions 24 hours a day and 7 days a week. The transmission of such papers by facsimile must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30, November 15, 1989. The Right Fax number is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PMR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PMR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Padma Baskar Ph.D., whose telephone number is ((571)

Art Unit: 1645

272-0853. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail system. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6.30 a.m. to 4.00 p.m. except First Friday of each bi-week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (571) 272-0864. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Padma Baskar Ph.D.

SUSAN UNGAR, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jusan Ju